1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 7 SIVA RAMA RAO KOTAPATI, CASE NO. C17-118 JCC Plaintiff, ORDER ON REVIEW OF REFUSAL 8 TO RECUSE 9 v. HAE YOUNG KIM, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 Defendant has filed an "Affidavit of Prejudice Pursuant to Title 28 § 144 Bias or 13 Prejudice of Judge." Dkt. #16. In his Affidavit, Defendant alleges he "has reason to believe that 14 Judge Coughenour is biased and prejudiced against pro se' litigants, insofar I cannot receive a 15 fair trial I am reasonable (sic) informed he can favor Creditors as well." Id. at 2. It appears that 16 he has reached this conclusion after a review of Judge Coughenour's biography. Id. Judge 17 Coughenour has declined to recuse himself (Dkt. #19) and the matter has been referred to this 18 Court in accordance with our Local Rules. LCR 3(e). 19 This Court concurs with Judge Coughenour: "Conclusory statements about how 20 Defendant perceives Judge Coughenour are not sufficient." Id. at 1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 21 455(a), a judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his 22 impartiality "might reasonably be questioned." Federal judges also shall disqualify themselves 23 24

in circumstances where they have a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or personal 2 knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding. 28 U.S.C. § 455(b)(1). 3 Under both 28 U.S.C. §144 and 28 U.S.C. § 455, recusal of a federal judge is appropriate if "a reasonable person with knowledge of all the facts would conclude that the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned." Yagman v. Republic Insurance, 987 F.2d 622, 626 5 (9th Cir.1993). This is an objective inquiry concerned with whether there is the appearance of 6 bias, not whether there is bias in fact. Preston v. United States, 923 F.2d 731, 734 (9th 7 Cir.1992); *United States v. Conforte*, 624 F.2d 869, 881 (9th Cir.1980). But there must be a 8 reasonable basis upon which to question a judge's ability to be impartial. Defendant has provided no evidence of bias or prejudice on the part of the presiding judge, and therefore the 10 judge is not required to recuse himself. Likewise, this Court finds no evidence upon which to 11 12 reasonably question Judge Coughenour's impartiality and therefore AFFIRMS his denial of 13 Defendant's request that he recuse himself. 14 The Clerk SHALL provide copies of this order to all counsel of record and to Defendant. Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2017. 15 16 17 18 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24